

DEC 05 2008

Applicants: Adam J. Simonoff *et al.*
Serial No. : 10/750,632
Filed : December 19, 2003
Page : 11 of 12

Attorney Docket No.: Navy Case 84734

PROPOSED**REMARKS****I. Status of Claims for Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111**

Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14-20, 22-24, 26-32, 34, 35 and 37-42 are pending in this application for this proposed Amendment presented in response to the December 1, 2008 Telephone Interview at which claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14-20, 22-24, 26-35 and 37-41 were pending.

II. Amendment Format under 37 CFR §1.121

By this proposed Amendment, claim 33 is cancelled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein, claims 1, 17 and 34 are amended in accordance with 37 CFR §§1.121(c)(1)-(2), and claim 42 is added in accordance with 37 CFR §1.121(c)(3). Claims 1, 17 and 34 are amended incorporate features from claim 33 and to recite features incorporated from and supported in the specification at, for example, page 8 line 3 – page 9 line 20 (corresponding to paragraphs [0026] – [0028] of U.S. Patent Application Publication 2005/0138601). No new matter would be added by any of these proposed amendments. No additional claim fee would be required for the additional claim.

III. Summary of Telephone Interview

During the December 1, 2008 Telephone Interview, Examiner Nguyen suggested some changes to the claims in order to proceed to allowability. In particular, Examiner Nguyen objected to the “allowing” language in claim 1, which Applicants’ representative agreed to replace with a wherein clause. Examiner Nguyen objected to absence of a “processor” in the apparatus of claim 17 for executing instructions. In response, Applicants’ representative inserts at least one processor for the operations, and adds claim 42 to combine that processor as a single unit.

Examiner Nguyen further objected to claim 17 regarding the phrase “the code objects are definable and movable and the inter-code object connections are creatable” as ambiguous. In response, Applicants’ representative proposes --to dispose the code objects and to create the inter-code object connections--.

DEC 05 2008

Applicants: Adam J. Simonoff *et al.*
Serial No. : 10/750,632
Filed : December 19, 2003
Page : 12 of 12

Attorney Docket No.: Navy Case 84734


PROPOSED

In addition, Examiner Nguyen suggested incorporating features from claim 33 into the independent claims 1, 17 and 34. Also, Examiner Nguyen further suggested more explicitly defining claim 1 for features relating to "security privileges" in context of filtering over the network. Applicants' representative incorporated these changes in the proposed Amendment. The Examiner is invited to enter these proposals as an Examiner's Amendment, should these be deemed acceptable.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing proposals for entry, Applicants respectfully submit that this application is thereby in condition for allowance. Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance is expected to be forthcoming, based on the understanding by Applicants' representative of the Examiner's comments. Should the Examiner believe that anything further is desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Gerhard W. Thielman
Registration No. 43,186

Date: December 5, 2008

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
Naval Surface Warfare Center – Dahlgren Division
Office of Counsel – Code C74
17632 Dahlgren Road, Suite 158
Dahlgren, Virginia 22448-5110
Telephone: (540) 653-8061 Customer No. 23501

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 50-0967
--